

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/407,126 09/27/1999		ROBERT W. BOSSEMEYER JR.	8285/314	2323	
757	7590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			BORISSOV, IGOR N		
P.O. BOX 10 CHICAGO,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		
,			3639	<u>-</u> .	

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/407,126	BOSSEMEYER E	ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Igor Borissov	3639				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>24 Oc</i>	tober 2005.	•				
2a)□			action is non-final.					
3)	' _							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 又)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-12,14-19 and 21-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-12,14-19 and 21-26</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner						
	·			hy the Examiner				
.0/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	ER 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	_	·	=	, ,			
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	•	for foreign r	priority under 35 H S C	& 110(a) (d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)ı	<u> </u>	documente	have been received					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies				Stago			
	application from the Internation			ii received, iii tiiis ivationai	otage			
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action		. , , , ,	t received				
	es and analysis dollars a smooth dollars	10. 4 110. 0	doranoù dopios no					
Attachma-	We\							
Attachmen 1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Intentious	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (FTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
•								

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment received on 10/24/2005 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 4, 13 and 20 have previously been canceled. Claims 1, 9, 10 and 17 have been amended. Claims 1-3, 6-12,14-19 and 21-26 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skip in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-12,14-19 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcott (US 6,324,273) in view of Panizzon (US 4,219,700) (Panizzon) and further in view of Majmudar et al. (US 4,897,866) (Majmudar).

Alcott teaches a computer-implemented method and system for ordering a telecommunication service, comprising:

As per claims 1, 10 and 17,

determining, in accordance with an inquiry of a party, an availability of a telecommunication feature for the party of a telecommunication network (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party in accordance with said inquiry (C. 3, L. 48 - 53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

providing availability data which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

determining that the telecommunication feature has become available to the party based on the first data structure and the availability data (C. 4, L. 15-25). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of: "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53).

Alcott does not specifically teach that inputting said availability data, which indicates availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network serving the party, is occurring after completion of the first transaction; and after upgrading the portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party. Also, Alcott does not specifically teach placing a call to the first party to inform the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein the placing of the call occurs in response to the inquiring in the first transaction, the upgrading the portion of the communication network and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data.

Panizzon teaches a method and system for party line subscriber interface circuit, wherein a telephone service subscriber, after inquiring for a telecommunication feature, said feature was unavailable for the subscriber, and after completing the inquiry, and after the processing the availability of said feature (processing of the first data structure), was informed that said feature had become available to the subscriber (C. 2, L. 48-54; C. 9, L. 57 - C. 10, L. 7). Panizzon specifically teaches that said "informing" is conducted by causing the subscriber's handset to ring (C. 2, L. 53-54), thereby indicating ability to inform said subscriber by placing a call.

Majmudar teaches a method and system for telecommunication arrangement, wherein, after a subscriber selects (inquires) a desired specific telecommunication feature, the inquiry is processed, and appropriate software modules are assembled (the system is upgraded) to enable the requested feature. After this event, if user lifts a handset to originate a call, the requested feature is available (C. 6, L. 1-17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott to include that inputting said availability data, which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature, is occurring after completion of the first transaction, and placing a call to the first party to inform the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, as taught by Panizzon, because it would advantageously improve customer service of the service providers by allowing subscribers to inquire for the desired feature only once. And it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott and Panizzon to include that inputting said availability data is occurring after upgrading the portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party, as taught by Majmudar, because it would advantageously allow to accommodate various needs subscribers may have, thereby make the system more attractive to customers.

As per *first* party and *first* telecommunication feature, the method steps, disclosed in Alcott, Panizzon and Majmudar would be performed the same regardless how many parties make inquiries for a service, and how many telecommunication features are available for the service. Furthermore, Alcott teaches:

As per claims 2, 11 and 18,

identifying another party of the telecommunication network and another a telecommunication feature unavailable to another party; determining an availability of the telecommunication feature for another party of a telecommunication network; and determining that the telecommunication feature unavailable to another party (C. 3, L. 48 -53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53).

As per *second* party and *first* telecommunication feature, the method steps, disclosed in Alcott, Panizzon and Majmudar would be performed the same regardless how many

parties make inquiries for a service, and how many telecommunication features are available for the service.

As per claims 3, 12 and 19, identifying another party of the telecommunication network and another telecommunication feature unavailable to another party; and determining that another telecommunication feature unavailable to another party (C. 3, L. 48 -53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53). As to second party and first telecommunication feature, the method steps, disclosed in Alcott, Panizzon and Majmudar would be performed the same regardless how many parties make inquiries for a service, and how many telecommunication features are available for the service.

As per claim 5, said method and system, comprising: prior to inputting the availability data, receiving a call from the party, and informing in the call that the first telecommunication feature is unavailable to the party (C. 1, L. 11-33; C. 3, L. 41 - C. 4, L. 4).

As per claims 6, 14 and 21, said method and system, wherein the first telecommunication feature comprises a telecommunication service (C. 1, L. 6-7).

As per claims 7, 15 and 22, said method and system, wherein the first telecommunication feature comprises a telecommunication product (C. 1, L. 6-7).

As per claims 8, 16 and 23, said method and system, wherein the telecommunication network comprises a telephone network (C. 1, L. 62 - C. 2, L. 12).

As per claim 9,

determining an availability of a telecommunication feature for the party of a telecommunication network in accordance with an inquiry of a party (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

storing a first data structure which identifies the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party in accordance with said inquiry (C. 3, L. 48-53; C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126

Art Unit: 3639

providing availability data which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party (C. 3, L. 62 - C. 4, L. 4);

determining that the telecommunication feature has become available to the party based on the first data structure and the availability data (C. 4, L. 15-25). As per "storing" feature, Alcott teaches the computer-implemented method, wherein the steps of "identifying the party of the telecommunication network and the telecommunication feature unavailable to the first party" are performed by the order processor (44), thereby obviously indicating storing step (C. 3, L. 48-53).

Alcott does not specifically teach that inputting said availability data, which indicates availability of the telecommunication feature to a portion of the telecommunication network serving the party, is occurring after completion of the first transaction; and after upgrading the portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party. Also, Alcott does not specifically teach placing a call to the first party to inform the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, wherein the placing of the call occurs in response to the inquiring in the first transaction, the upgrading the portion of the communication network and the processing of the first data structure and the availability data.

Panizzon teaches a method and system for party line subscriber interface circuit, wherein a telephone service subscriber, after inquiring for a telecommunication feature, said feature was unavailable for the subscriber, and after completing the inquiry, and after the processing the availability of said feature, was informed that said feature had become available to the subscriber (C. 2, L. 48-54; C. 9, L. 57 - C. 10, L. 7). Panizzon specifically teaches that said "informing" is conducted by causing the subscriber's handset to ring (C. 2, L. 53-54), thereby indicating ability to inform said subscriber by placing a call.

Majmudar teaches a method and system for telecommunication arrangement, wherein, after a subscriber selects (inquires) a desired specific telecommunication feature, the inquiry is processed, and appropriate software modules are assembled (the system is upgraded) to enable the requested feature.

Application/Control Number: 09/407,126

Art Unit: 3639

After this event, if user lifts a handset to originate a call, the requested feature is available (C. 6, L. 1-17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott to include that inputting said availability data, which indicates an availability of the telecommunication feature, is occurring after completion of the first transaction, and placing a call to the first party to inform the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, as taught by Panizzon, because it would advantageously improve customer service of the service providers by allowing subscribers to inquire for the desired feature only once. And it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Alcott and Panizzon to include that inputting said availability data is occurring after upgrading the portion of the telecommunication network which serves the party, as taught by Majmudar, because it would advantageously allow to accommodate various needs subscribers may have, thereby make the system more attractive to customers.

Also, Alcott, Panizzon and Majmudar do-not specifically teach a first party, a second party and a third party, which inquire for a first, second and third features. However, the method steps disclosed in Alcott, Panizzon and Majmudar indicate continuity of the disclosed method. As to *first*, *second and* third party and first, *second and* third telecommunication features, the method steps, disclosed in Alcott, Panizzon and Majmudar would be performed the same regardless how many parties make inquiries for a service, and how many telecommunication features are available for the service.

As per claims 24-26, Panizzon teaches that a telephone service subscriber, after inquiring for a telecommunication feature, said feature was unavailable for the subscriber, and after completing the inquiry, and after the processing the availability of said feature, was informed that said feature had become available to the subscriber (C. 2, L. 48-54; C. 9, L. 57 - C. 10, L. 7). The motivation to combine Alcott with Panizzon would be to advantageously improve customer service of the telephone service provider by returning the customer call for a desired telecommunication feature.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the Applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach placing a call to the first party to inform the first party that the first telecommunication feature has become available, it is noted that Panizzon teaches informing the subscriber that a telecommunication feature, which was unavailable for the subscriber, has become available, wherein said "informing" is conducted by causing the subscriber's handset to ring (C. 2, L. 53-54), thereby indicating ability to inform said subscriber by placing a call.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igor Borissov whose telephone number is 571-272-6801. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Igor N. Borissov

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3639

ΙB

1/8/2006